

Pa/1113/2/130



P.O. Box 278
Hillcrest,
Natal.

August 31st. 1972

The Bishop of Stepney,
400 Commercial Road,
London, E.1.

Dear Trevor,

I have now started on Chapter 31, which is the last, though I shall probably write a postscript chapter showing what finally happened to the Church Clause.

Now we come to your letter. It is very important to me that you should read the book. I am afraid of sending it to you direct, on the other hand I can hardly send it to the S.P.C.K. and say that you must read it first. I shall probably send it via Anne's mother, Mrs. Archer Thomson, of Sherborne, Dorset. Then I would like Michael Scott to see it too. I am sure that both of you will understand that extensive re-writing would not be possible, but I don't for a minute suppose that you will suggest any such thing. In fact I have painted portraits of you ten times as big as life and you will probably be so pleased that you won't want anything altered. To be serious, you must correct any error of fact.

Thank you for saying you will speak to the S.P.C.K. I hope the book is not going to suffer because they are the publishers. On the other hand it is as you might expect, a specialised book, but I think that when you receive it you will realise it is not as specialised as all that.

Thank you for the information about the S.A.B.C. broadcast. I don't want you to recall all the circumstances, I shall try to get them from Jonathan.

As far as Peter Hein is concerned, I am sure that he is well qualified to look after himself, but the legal aspects puzzle me, and if I lived in Britain they would certainly trouble me. Has it now become an offence to collaborate with other people in protest, or was it actually because the forms of protest were illegal? I would be glad if you could explain it to me.

In the meantime,

Yours ever